

TOTAL PAGES: 3
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: reynolds@ch-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

In re:
DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11346-B-7
Chapter 7
DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Ctym: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lasreto

In re:
MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7
DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Ctym: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lasreto

TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY

JAMES E. SALVEN, the Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate of Daniel M. Canchola ("Debtor Canchola") and PETER L. FEAR, the Chapter 7 Successor Trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate of Mario Alberto Guerra ("Debtor Guerra"), respectfully moves this Court for an order authorizing them to compromise a controversy pursuant to Federal

Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure Rule 9019 (the "Motion") as follows:

1. James E. Salven is the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee in the bankruptcy case of Daniel M. Canchola. Debtor Canchola filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on April 11, 2017, bearing Case No. 17-11346-B-7.
2. Peter L. Fear is the qualified and acting Chapter 7 Successor Trustee following the resignation of previous acting Trustee, Trudi G. Manfredi, of the bankruptcy estate of Mario Alberto Guerra. Debtor Guerra filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on April 12, 2017, bearing Case No. 17-11365-B-7.
3. This motion to compromise involves the compromise of a potential recovery of money to be divided between two separate bankruptcy estates.
4. Mr. Guerra is or was the owner of Guerra Produce. Mr. Guerra hired Mr. Canchola to drive a truck to make deliveries. A traffic accident occurred involving the truck driven by Mr. Canchola. On December 11, 2013, a Complaint was filed in the Fresno County Superior Court that alleged Mr. Canchola and Mr. Guerra (along with other Defendants) negligently caused a traffic accident resulting in the wrongful death and serious injuries of several persons.
5. A trial was set to begin on April 24, 2017, in the Fresno County Superior Court. A Good Faith Settlement Hearing was set for April 13, 2017. On April 11 and 12, 2017, Canchola and Guerra filed their respective Chapter 7 bankruptcy petitions. The Plaintiffs sought and obtained relief from stay in both bankruptcy cases so that they could pursue and recover from the Debtors' insurance carrier.
6. One of the major issues in the case was the proper insurance policy limits that was or should have been applicable to the vehicle owned by Guerra and driven by Canchola. The policy that was issued had limits of \$25,000/\$50,000. The vehicle was being used, and was alleged to have always been used as a commercial vehicle. In California the minimum limits for a commercial vehicle is \$750,000. The Plaintiffs made a demand for the commercial policy limits which was ignored and Infinity offered the consumer policy limits. Early on it was anticipated that a bad faith claim would be brought and the issue eventually decided in the bad

1 faith case. Eventually the underlying case was settled by means of an unusual agreement
2 whereby Infinity paid the lower policy limits, the Plaintiffs dismissed the underlying lawsuit yet
3 preserved their rights to bring a direct action for bad faith against Infinity. The Debtors were not
4 a party to this agreement as they had filed bankruptcy and Infinity did not contact either trustee
5 concerning the lawsuit. The agreement makes no reference to the Debtors and does not attempt
6 to limit the rights of the Debtors or their Estates. The Debtor's, through their respective estates
7 will pursue their claims, including but not limited to emotional distress damages and punitive
8 damages.

9 7. The Trustees of the two Debtors respective estates seek approval of an agreement
10 that allows the estates to hire one attorney to prosecute the bad faith and/or tortious injury action
11 on behalf of both estates and share in any settlement pursuant to the terms set forth in the
12 agreement. In the event that no settlement is reached and the action goes to trial then the estates
13 agree that the verdict or decision shall dictate as to what monies shall go to which estate.

14 8. The Court should approve the settlement as the results are very favorable to the
15 two estates and the Trustees believe it is in the best interest of the creditors and the two estates
16 for the settlement to be approved.

17
18 Respectfully submitted,

19 COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP

20
21 Dated: May 8, 2019.

22 By: /s/ Russell W. Reynolds
23 RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS

24 Attorneys for James E. Salven, Chapter 7
25 Trustee and for Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7
26 Successor Trustee
27
28

TEES' MTN TO COMP A CONT

1 TOTAL PAGES: 5

2 RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
3 COLEMAN & HOROWITZ, LLP
4 Attorneys at Law
5 499 West Shaw, Suite 116
6 Fresno, California 93704
7 Telephone: (559) 248-4820
8 Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
9 E-Mail: reynolds@clt-law.com

10 Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
11 and Peter Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

12 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

14 In re:

15 DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

16 Debtor.

17 Case No. 17-11346-B-7
18 Chapter 7

19 DC No.: RWR-4

20 Date: June 12, 2019
21 Time: 9:30 a.m.

22 Dept: B

23 Court: 13, Fifth Floor

24 Location: 2500 Tulare Street
25 Fresno, CA

26 Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

27 In re:

28 MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Court: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY**

JAMES E. SALVEN, the Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate of Daniel M. Canchola ("Debtor Canchola") and PETER L. FEAR, the Chapter 7 Successor Trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate of Mario Alberto Guerra ("Debtor Guerra"), have

MEMO OF PS&AS IN SUP OF TEES' MTN TO COMP A CONT

1 moved this Court for an order authorizing them to compromise a controversy pursuant to Federal
2 Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure Rule 9019 (the "Motion") as follows:

3 In support of the Motion, Trustees respectfully represent as follows:

4 I.

5 JURISDICTION

6 This Court has jurisdiction to consider this Motion under 28 U.S.C. Sections 157 and
7 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding
8 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 157(a) and 157(b)(2). The parties have consented to entry of a
9 final judgment by the Bankruptcy Court.

10 II.

11 BACKGROUND

12 This motion to compromise involves the compromise of a potential recovery of money to
13 be divided between two separate bankruptcy estates. The first estate is that of Daniel M.
14 Canchola (Case Number 17-11346). James E. Salven is the duly appointed and acting Chapter 7
15 Trustee of the Canchola estate. The second estate is that of Mario Alberto Guerra (Case Number
16 17-11365). Peter L. Fear is the qualified and acting Chapter 7 Successor Trustee following the
17 resignation of previous acting Trustee, Trudi G. Manfredo, for the Guerra estate. The Debtors
18 filed their respective chapter 7 bankruptcy petitions on April 12, 2017.

19 Mr. Guerra is or was the owner of Guerra Produce. Mr. Guerra hired Mr. Canchola to
20 drive a truck to make deliveries. Unfortunately, a traffic accident occurred involving the truck
21 driven by Mr. Canchola. On December 11, 2013, a Complaint was filed in the Fresno County
22 Superior Court that alleged Mr. Canchola and Mr. Guerra (along with other Defendants)
23 negligently caused a traffic accident resulting in the wrongful death and serious injuries of
24 several persons.

25 A trial was set to begin on April 24, 2017, in the Fresno County Superior Court. A Good
26 Faith Settlement Hearing was set for April 13, 2017. On April 11, 2017, Debtor Canchola filed a
27 Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition. Debtor Guerra filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition on April 12,
28 2017. The Plaintiffs sought and obtained relief from stay in both bankruptcy cases so that they

1 could pursue and recover from the Debtors' insurance carrier.

2 One of the major issues in the case was the proper insurance policy limits that was or
3 should have been applicable to the vehicle owned by Guerra and driven by Canchola. The policy
4 that was issued had limits of \$25,000/\$50,000. The vehicle was being used, and was alleged to
5 have always been used, as a commercial vehicle. In California the minimum limits for a
6 commercial vehicle is \$750,000. The Plaintiffs made a demand for the commercial policy limits
7 which was ignored and Infinity offered the consumer policy limits. Early on it was anticipated
8 that a bad faith claim would be brought and the issue eventually decided in the bad faith case.

9 Eventually the underlying case was settled by means of an unusual agreement whereby Infinity
10 paid the lower policy limits, the Plaintiffs dismissed the underlying lawsuit yet preserved their
11 rights to bring a direct action for bad faith against Infinity. The Debtors were not a party to this
12 agreement as they had filed bankruptcy and Infinity did not contact either trustee concerning the
13 lawsuit. The agreement makes no reference to the Debtors and does not attempt to limit the
14 rights of the Debtors or their Estates. The Debtors, through their respective estates will pursue
15 their claims, including but not limited to emotional distress damages and punitive damages.

16 The Trustees of the two Debtors respective estates seek approval of an agreement that
17 allows the estates to hire one attorney to prosecute the bad faith and/or tortious injury action on
18 behalf of both estates and share in any settlement pursuant to the terms set forth in the agreement.
19 In the event that no settlement is reached and the action goes to trial then the estates agree that
20 the verdict or decision shall dictate as to what monies shall go to which estate.

21 III.

22 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

23 Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) provides as follows:

24 "On motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court
25 may approve a compromise or settlement."

26 A. The Court has Discretion to Approve or Deny Motions to Compromise.

27 Motions to compromise are addressed to the sound discretion of the bankruptcy judge
28 based on the particular circumstances of the case. (See *Matter of Walsh Const., Inc.*, (9th Cir.

1 1982) 669 F.2d 1325.) In order to approve a compromise or settlement under Rule 9019(a), the
2 settlement must be in the best interest of the estate. (See *In Re: A&C Properties*, (9th Cir. 1986)
3 784 F.2d 1377, 1382.) To determine that the settlement is in the best interest of the estate, the
4 court must find the proposed compromise to be (1) negotiated in good faith and (2) fair and
5 equitable considering the (i) probability of success in the litigation; (ii) difficulties to be
6 encountered in collecting on the judgment; (iii) complexity of the litigation involved; and (iv)
7 paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views. (See *In Re:*
8 *A&C Properties*, supra, 784 F.2d at 1381.)

9 The Movant has the burden of proving (by a preponderance of the evidence) that the
10 motion to compromise is in the estate's best interest. (See *In Re: A&C Properties*, supra, 784
11 F.2d at 1381.) The court is not required to decide disputed questions of fact and law raised by
12 the litigation, but to canvas the issues to see whether the "settlement falls below the lowest point
13 in a range of reasonableness." (See *In Re: Tectonics Services, Inc.*, (2nd Cir. 1985) 762 F.2d 185,
14 189.)

15 B. The Factors to be Considered in this Case Favor Approval.

16 In this particular case, the court should approve the settlement as the results are
17 very favorable to the estate. Movant submits the settlement is fair and equitable under the
18 standard set forth in *A&C Properties* as follows:

19 (1) Probability of Success.

20 The Trustees acknowledge that bad faith litigation is always difficult as the
21 Plaintiff bears the burden of proof against a well funded and experienced adversary. In this case,
22 experienced trial counsel and bad faith litigation counsel have opined that they believe the facts
23 give rise to such a claim. There are undisputed facts in evidence that weigh in favor of Debtors'
24 success against Infinity, its agents and attorneys.

25 (2) Difficulty in Collection.

26 The Trustees do not anticipate any difficulty in collection. The action to
27 be pursued is against an insurance company, its agents and attorneys, and if the matter goes to
28 trial and the estates prevail, it is presumed the insurance company has the assets to satisfy the

1 judgment. Naturally, if the matter were to settle the settlement amount will be deposited into a
2 trust account prior to any dismissal of the action.

3 (3) Complexity of Litigation.

4 This litigation is very complex. It requires the employment of counsel that
5 has knowledge and experience in bad faith litigation. In this case it requires the knowledge of
6 insurance contract law and insurance coverage law as well as one who can identify and put on
7 evidence of the damages the Debtors have suffered.

8 (4) Paramount Interest of Creditors.

9 This factor heavily favors approval of the agreement. The Trustees,
10 through counsel, have met with trial counsel in the underlying action and have reviewed certain
11 pleadings and orders in the underlying State Court case. Based on the facts of the case it seems
12 that the interests of the two Debtors are not adverse to one another and both may have a right to
13 damages associated with their bad faith and/or tortious injuries against Infinity, its agents and
14 attorneys, which includes among other things emotional distress and punitive damages.
15 Permitting one attorney to maintain this action on behalf of both estates maximizes the return to
16 the creditors.

17 V.

18 CONCLUSION

19 For the foregoing reasons and authorities, James E. Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee of the
20 bankruptcy estate of Daniel M. Canchola and Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee of the
21 bankruptcy estate of Mario Alberto Guerra, request the court enter an order approving the
22 agreement.

23 Respectfully submitted,

24 COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP

25 Dated: May 8, 2019.

26 By: /s/ Russell W. Reynolds
27 RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS
28 Attorneys for James E. Salven, Chapter 7
Trustee and for Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7
Successor Trustee



TOTAL PAGES: 3
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: rreynolds@ch-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

Case No. 17-11346-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Chrm: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lasreto

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Chrm: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lasreto

In re:
MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,
Debtor.

DECLARATION OF JAMES E. SALVEN IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES'
MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY

I, James E. Salven, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an adult person over the age of eighteen (18), competent to testify about the matter set forth herein. I am the duly appointed, qualified and acting Trustee of the estate of

DEC OF JAMES E. SALVEN

Daniel M Canchola. If called upon to testify to the facts set forth in this declaration, I can and would do so.

2. Daniel M. Canchola filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code on April 12, 2017. I am the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee. I have reviewed and analyzed the Debtor's petition, schedules, Statement of Financial Affairs and numerous other documents filed as part of the Debtor's Chapter 7 case. I also questioned the Debtor at the First Meeting of Creditors. I have used the information learned from these documents and my conversations with the Debtor and with my counsel to justify the exercise of my business judgment.

3. I learned that prior to filing his petition, Mr. Canchola worked for Mr. Guerra and at least on one occasion drove a truck to deliver produce for Mr. Guerra's produce business. There was a terrible accident that involved the death of one individual and at least two other individuals were injured.

4. Following the automobile accident, a lawsuit was filed against Mr. Guerra and Mr. Canchola. It was learned that the insurance policy on the vehicle had a \$25,000 per occurrence limit. It is believed that a commercial vehicle in California is required to have a \$750,000 minimum liability coverage.

5. Prior to the case going to trial, Mr. Guerra and Mr. Canchola filed bankruptcy. The Plaintiffs in the state court litigation filed motions for relief from stay to pursue the case limiting any recovery to the Debtor's insurance. I have been informed and I believe that Plaintiff's counsel demanded the commercial liability limit but the carrier offered only the consumer limit as stated in the policy. Thereafter the insurance carrier entered into an agreement with the Plaintiffs that in the event a bad faith claim was brought and the Plaintiffs prevailed, certain assumptions would be made as to what damage verdicts would be made.

6. It is my belief that Mr. Canchola has a bad faith and/or tortious injury claim against the insurance carrier, its agents and attorneys, and similarly, Mr. Fear believes that Mr. Guerra has a bad faith and/or tortious injury claim against the insurance carrier, its agents and attorneys. These claims arise out of the same set of facts and the same legal issues apply to both

DEC OF JAMES E. SALVEN

1 Debtors. We have consulted with counsel familiar and experienced in handling bad-faith and
2 tortious injury cases and have confirmed that Mr. Canchola and Mr. Guerra do not have claims
3 that are adverse to one another and their claims against the insurance company, its agents and
4 attorneys, are similarly situated. It is my belief that this claim can be pursued most efficiently by
5 allowing one attorney to handle the bad faith claim on behalf of both the estates. Prior to Ms.
6 Manfredo's resignation as acting Trustee in the Guerra case, she and I entered into an agreement
7 regarding the sharing of any litigation proceeds between the two bankruptcy estates. Following
8 her resignation, I have reviewed the terms of the agreement with Mr. Fear, who has also agreed
9 to the terms of said agreement. Accompanying this motion is a true and correct copy of the
10 Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release as Exhibit "A".

11 7. It is anticipated that this motion will be heard at the same time as a motion to
12 employ special counsel filed in both Debtor's cases and that all three motions will be approved.

13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
14 foregoing is true and correct.

15 Executed this 14 day of May, 2019, at Fresno, California.

16
17 
18 JAMES EDWARD SALVEN
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEC OF JAMES E. SALVEN

TOTAL PAGES: 4
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITZ, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: rreynolds@ch-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

In re:

DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11346-B-7

Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Ctrm: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street

Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

In re:

MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7

Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Ctrm: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street

Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON TRUSTEES' MOTION
TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY**

TO: THE DEBTORS, DANIEL M. CANCHOLA AND MARIO ALBERTO
GUERRA; THEIR ATTORNEY OF RECORD, JERRY LOWE; AND ALL
INTERESTED PARTIES:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 12, 2019, at 9:30 a.m. in Department B,
Courtroom 13 of the United States Bankruptcy Court located at 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno,
California, the undersigned counsel for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee and Peter L.
Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee, will seek an order from the court authorizing them to
compromise a controversy pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure Rule 9019.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion to Compromise a

Controversy between the bankruptcy estates of Daniel Conchola (Case No. 17-11346) and
Mario Guerra (Case No. 17-11365), including supporting documents, were previously filed
on March 28, 2019 as Docket Control Numbers RWR-2. The document numbers of the
Motion to Compromise in the Conchola case bear document numbers 38 through 44. The
document numbers of the Motion to Compromise in the Guerra case bear document
numbers 50 through 56. However, due to a clerical error the documents bore incorrect
docket control numbers and were not timely served to comply with Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1).
To correct the prior errors, the documents are being refiled and served to comply with the
Local Rules.

The Chapter 7 Trustees seek an order approving an agreement that allows the estates to
hire one attorney to prosecute the bad faith and/or tortious injury action on behalf of both estates
and share in any settlement pursuant to the terms set forth in the agreement. In the event that no
settlement is reached and the action goes to trial then the estates agree that the verdict or decision
shall dictate as to what monies shall go to which estate.

This motion is being filed pursuant to Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Opposition, if any, to the
granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Clerk of the
Court, on the undersigned, and the Chapter 7 Trustees and any other party requesting special
notice by the responding party at least fourteen (14) calendar days preceding the date or
continued date of the hearing. Opposition, if any, shall be served upon the following:

Russell W. Reynolds
Attorneys for James E. Salven
and Peter L. Fear
499 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 116
Fresno, California 93704

James E. Salven
Chapter 7 Trustee
P.O. Box 25970
Fresno, California 93729

Peter L. Fear
Chapter 7 Trustee
PO Box 28490
Fresno, California 93729

Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion may serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion; or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

UNLESS WRITTEN OPPOSITION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE IS FILED WITH THE CLERK AND SERVED ON THE MOVING PARTY, THE COURT MAY RESOLVE THE MATTER WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT.

This motion will be based on this Notice of Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration of James E. Salven and Declaration of Peter L. Fear served and filed herewith, and on all of the records and documents filed in the above-entitled matter and on such oral and documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing on the motion.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that you are able to review any tentative ruling or pre-hearing dispositions by checking the court's website at www.caebl.uscourts.gov after 4:00 p.m. the day before the hearing. Parties appearing telephonically must view the pre-hearing dispositions prior to the hearing.

[illegible]

NOT OF HRNG ON TEES' MTN TO COMP A CONT

To appear at the hearing by telephone, contact Court Call Conference Service at (866) 582-6878. *See also* CourtCall.com. The telephone appearance must be arranged twenty-four (24) hours in advance. A Court Call fee applies. Individuals using Court Call are cautioned they do so at their own risk. The hearing will not be rescheduled due to a missed connection.

Requests for further information or for copies of the motion and supporting papers should be directed to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP

Dated: May 8, 2019.

By: /s/ Russell W. Reynolds
 RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS
 Attorneys for James E. Salven, Chapter 7
 Trustee and for Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7
 Successor Trustee

4

NOT OF HRNG ON TEES' MTN TO COMP A CONT

2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TOTAL PAGES: 3
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITZ, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: rreynolds@cl-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

In re:

DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11346-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Ctrm: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastrero

In re:

MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Ctrm: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastrero

**DECLARATION OF PETER L. FEAR IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES'
MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY**

I, Peter L. Fear, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an adult person over the age of eighteen (18), competent to testify about the matter set forth herein. I am the duly appointed, qualified and acting Successor Trustee of the

1

DEC OF PETER L. FEAR

1

estate of Mario Alberto Guerra. If called upon to testify to the facts set forth in this declaration, I can and would do so. Prior to my appointment as Successor Trustee, Trudi Mañafredo served as the acting trustee until her resignation on or about December 26, 2018.

2. Mario Alberto Guerra filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code on April 12, 2017. I am the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee. I have reviewed and analyzed the Debtor's petition, schedules, Statement of Financial Affairs and numerous other documents filed as part of the Debtor's Chapter 7 case. I have used the information learned from these documents and my conversations with my counsel to justify the exercise of my business judgment.

3. I learned that prior to filing his petition, Mr. Guerra employed Daniel Canchola who on at least on one occasion drove a truck to deliver produce for Mr. Guerra's produce business. There was a terrible accident that involved the death of one individual and injuries to at least two other individuals.

4. Following the automobile accident, a lawsuit was filed against Mr. Guerra and Mr. Canchola. It was learned that the insurance policy on the vehicle had a \$25,000 per occurrence limit. It is believed that a commercial vehicle in California is required to have a \$750,000 minimum liability coverage.

5. Prior to the case going to trial, Mr. Guerra and Mr. Canchola filed bankruptcy. The Plaintiffs in the state court litigation filed motions for relief from stay to pursue the case limiting any recovery to the Debtor's insurance. I have been informed and I believe that Plaintiff's counsel demanded the commercial liability limit but the carrier offered only the consumer limit as stated in the policy. Thereafter the insurance carrier entered into an agreement with the Plaintiffs that in the event a bad faith claim was brought and the Plaintiffs prevailed, certain assumptions would be made as to what damage verdicts would be made.

6. It is my belief that Mr. Guerra has a bad faith and/or tortious injury claim against the insurance carrier, its agents and attorneys, and similarly, Mr. Salven believes that Mr. Canchola has a bad faith and/or tortious injury claim against the insurance carrier its agents and attorneys. These claims arise out of the same set of facts and the same legal issues apply to both

2


DEC OF PETER L. FEAR

1 Debtors. We have consulted with counsel familiar and experienced in handling bad-faith and
2 tortious injury cases and have confirmed that Mr. Canchola and Mr. Guerra do not have claims
3 that are adverse to one another and their claims against the insurance company, its agents and
4 attorneys, are similarly situated. It is my belief that this claim can be pursued most efficiently by
5 allowing one attorney to handle the bad faith and/or tortious injury claim on behalf of both the
6 estates. Prior to her resignation as acting Trustee, Ms. Manfredo entered into an agreement with
7 Mr. Salven regarding the sharing of any litigation proceeds between the two bankruptcy estates. I
8 have reviewed the terms of the agreement with Mr. Salven and believe that the agreement is in
9 the best interest of the estate.

10 7. It is anticipated that this motion will be heard at the same time as a motion to
11 employ special counsel filed in both Debtors' cases and that all three motions will be approved.

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
13 foregoing is true and correct.

14 Executed this 8th day of May, 2019, at Fresno, California.

15 
16 PETER L. FEAR
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEC OF PETER L. FEAR

TOTAL PAGES: 5
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: reynolds@ch-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

In re:

DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11346-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Crmn: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastrero

In re:

MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept: B

Crmn: 13, Fifth Floor

Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA

Judge: Honorable Rene Lastrero

EXHIBIT "A" IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES'
MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY

EXHIBIT	TITLE	PAGE
A	Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release	3

///

Executed this 8th day of May, 2019, at Fresno, California.

COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP

Dated: May 8, 2019.

By: /s/ Russell W. Reynolds

RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS
Attorneys for James E. Salven, Chapter 7
Trustee and for Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7
Successor Trustee

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Agreement") is entered into by and between TRUDI G. MANFREDO, Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee Manfredo") of the bankruptcy estate of MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA, Bankruptcy Case No. 17-11346-B-7 and JAMES E. SALVEN, Chapter 7 Trustee of the bankruptcy estate of DANIEL M. CANCHOLA, Bankruptcy Case No. 17-11346-B-7.

RECITALS

A. On April 12, 2017, MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA ("GUERRA") filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (Case No. 17-11346-B-7). Trudi G. Manfredo is the Chapter 7 Trustee of this bankruptcy estate.

B. On April 11, DANIEL M. CANCHOLA ("CANCHOLA") filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (Case No. 17-11346-B-7). James E. Salven is the Chapter 7 Trustee of this bankruptcy estate.

C. One of the assets of each estate is an insurance bad faith claim arising out of wrongful death litigation in the Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 13C00803811, Cal Is Duc et al vs. Mario Alberto Guerra, Daniel M. Canchola, et al.

D. At the time of the incident giving rise to the underlying wrongful death litigation, CANCHOLA was employed by GUERRA. CANCHOLA was driving a vehicle owned by GUERRA and collided with the Plaintiffs resulting in a death.

E. The two estates both have an interest in any proceeds resulting from this bad faith litigation ("Settlement Sum").

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual compromises and covenants contained herein, Trustee Manfredo and Trustee Salve agree as follows:

1. **Settlement.**
If the bad faith litigation case is settled prior to trial, the Settlement Sum shall be equally divided between the two bankruptcy estates. This settlement is not effective unless approved by the Bankruptcy Court in both bankruptcy estates.

In the event that the matter goes to trial, both trustees agree to be bound by the judgment arising out of this litigation.

2. Release.

Except as otherwise set forth herein, each party hereto forever releases, waives and relinquishes any and all claims, demands, actions, or causes of action against the other party, hereto and his, her or its respective officers, agents, affiliates, successors and assigns. In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, each party hereto acknowledges that each party is aware that he, she or it may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those which that party now knows or believes to exist but that it is each party's intention hereby fully, finally and forever to settle and release all of the claims, disputes and differences, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist or may exist hereafter between the parties, except as otherwise expressly provided in this release. This release shall be, and shall remain in effect, as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts.

3. Waiver of Civil Code Section 1542.

Except as otherwise provided in this agreement, each party hereto acknowledges that they are familiar with and voluntarily waive any right or benefit arising from Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California which provides as follows:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement."

4. Warranty.

Each party warrants and represents to the other that they are the sole lawful owner of all right, title and interest in and to all of the claims released hereby and that they have not heretofore voluntarily or involuntarily by operation of law or otherwise, assigned or transferred or purported to assign or transfer to any person any such claim or any portion thereof.

5. Defense.


Each party agrees not to sue the other or in any way assist any other person or entity in suing the other with respect to any claim released herein. This release may be pled as a full and complete defense to, and may be used as the basis for, an injunction against any action, suit or other proceeding that may be instituted, prosecuted, or attempted in breach of release contained herein.

EXHIBIT "A"


6. No Admission.
Nothing contained herein shall be construed as an admission by any one of any liability of any kind.
7. Authority.
Each party hereto acknowledges that they have authority to enter into this agreement.
8. Parties Bound.
The parties agree that this settlement and release shall be binding upon their respective successors, heirs, representatives and assigns and shall inure to the benefit of the parties, their agents, successors, heirs, employees, representatives, attorneys, partners and personal representative, past or present of the Parties.
9. Counterpart.
This Agreement may be signed in counterpart.
10. Jurisdiction.
In the event of any disputes over the interpretation, consummation or enforcement of any provision of this Agreement, jurisdiction to determine such dispute shall be solely in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court presiding over the pending case so long as said Court otherwise has jurisdiction.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

Dated: 2/21/18


James E. Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee of
the Canchola Bankruptcy Estate,
Case No. 17-11346-B-7

Dated: 2/9/18


Trudi G. Manfredo, Chapter 7 Trustee
of the Guerra Bankruptcy,
Case No. 17-11365-B-7

TOTAL PAGES: 6
RUSSELL W. REYNOLDS #138075
COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP
Attorneys at Law
499 West Shaw, Suite 116
Fresno, California 93704
Telephone: (559) 248-4820
Facsimile: (559) 248-4830
E-Mail: reynolds@ch-law.com

Attorneys for James Edward Salven, Chapter 7 Trustee
and Peter L. Fear, Chapter 7 Successor Trustee

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

In re:

DANIEL M. CANCHOLA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11346-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Crm: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

In re:

MARIO ALBERTO GUERRA,

Debtor.

Case No. 17-11365-B-7
Chapter 7

DC No.: RWR-4

Date: June 12, 2019
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept: B
Crm: 13, Fifth Floor
Location: 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA
Judge: Honorable Rene Lastreto

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare that I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Fresno. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 499 West Shaw, Suite 116, Fresno, California 93704.

On May 8, 2019, I served the following documents described as:

CERT OF SERVICE

1. NOTICE OF HEARING ON TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY
2. TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY
3. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY
4. DECLARATION OF JAMES E. SALVEN IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY
5. DECLARATION OF PETER L. FEAR IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY
6. EXHIBIT "A" IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEES' MOTION TO COMPROMISE A CONTROVERSY

on the interested parties as follows:

PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED MAILING MATRIXES

☒ BY MAIL - by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the firm's outgoing mail. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business.

☐ BY CALIFORNIA OVERNIGHT - by placing ☐ a true and correct copy ☐ the original thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope for delivery via California Overnight next day delivery to the addressee noted above.

☐ BY HAND DELIVERY - by delivering by hand and leaving a true copy with the person and at the address shown above.

☐ BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION - by causing a true copy thereof to be electronically transmitted to the parties indicated above, by using their e-mail address.

☐ STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

☒ FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction service was made.

Executed on May 8, 2019, at Fresno, California.

/s/ Stacey Johnson
STACEY JOHNSON

CERT OF SERVICE

